



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,612	03/25/2004	Christopher J. Twigg	PC-1434CIP	7256		
23717 7:	590 03/30/2006		EXAM	INER		
	ES OF BRIAN S STEI	CONLEY, FI	CONLEY, FREDRICK C			
101 BREVARI COCOA, FL	: <del></del> -: -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3673			
			DATE MAILED: 02 M0 M000	DATE MAILED, 02/20/2006		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/809,612	TWIGG, CHRISTOPHER J.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
FREDRICK C. CONLEY	3673			

		FREDRICK C. CON	LEY	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE 1	• •			•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continued periods:	to or on he follov (2) a No	i the same day as filin wing replies: (1) an an stice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of mendment, aft ppeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either both TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See</li> </ul>	e of this A y expire I ox (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) th later than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailin	ng date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	The date riod of extending the second of the file of the fifice late	on which the petition un tension and the corresp shortened statutory perior r than three months afte	onding amount od for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	any exte	ension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final regard (a)  They raise new issues that would require further than the proposed in t</li></ul>	orther co OTE belo On in be	onsideration and/or se ow); tter form for appeal by	arch (see NO	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 of the amendments are not in compliance with 37 of the Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) working non-allowable claim(s).	CFR 1.1 CFR 1.1 ection(s)	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Not ):	ice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendmer how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows Claim(s) allowed: 31. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5,6,12-25,28,29 and 32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ed is pro			ill be entered and an o	explanation of
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final arbecause applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	good ar	nd sufficient reasons v	vhy the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is not affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expectation of the content of the file of the</li></ol>	ailed to ecessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejectior ry and was not earlier	ns under appe presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	dered b	ut does NOT place the	e application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem 13. Other:	nent(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	1449) Paper	No(s)	
			Suz	zadne Dino Barrett	-
			- 04	/!!! <b></b> !!!!!	

Primary Examiner

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As stated in the rejection, it is confusting how the user is able to bend back the bottom of each clip 80 once the bottom end of the vertical leg portions are within the top opening 315 and the pin 84 is arranged in the side opening 325 fo the footer. Page 15 lines 14-15 in the specification discloses that the lower end of the metal plate 80 extends below the bottom end of the vertical leg portions as seen in figure 13C. If the metal plate extends below the bottom end of the vertical leg portion then how is it secured within the top opening 315 and once the pin is secured in the side opening 325 how is the pin pulled out of the side opening if the metal plate extends below the bottom end of the vertical leg portion...In addition, claim 6 depends from canceled claim 5.